UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/575,837	04/13/2006	Michael R. Palovich	PU60549	6788
20462 7590 04/28/2008 SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION CORPORATE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY-US, UW2220 P. O. BOX 1539			EXAMINER	
			RAHMANI, NILOOFAR	
KING OF PRUSSIA, PA 19406-0939		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1625	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/28/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

US_cipkop@gsk.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/575,837	PALOVICH ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	NILOOFAR RAHMANI	1625			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ag 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 5-13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	election requirement.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of th	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 04/13/2006.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

Art Unit: 1625

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-13 are currently pending in the instant application.

Priority

2. This application is filed on 04/13/2006, which is a 371 of PCT/US04/34234, filed on 10/15/2004, which claims benefit of 60/512,161, filed on 10/17/2003.

3. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 5-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement because the specification does not enable the instant compound to treat any and all known or unknown diseases. The claim contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

There are many factors to be considered when determining whether there is sufficient evidence to support a determination that a disclosure does not satisfy the enablement requirement and whether any necessary experimentation is "undue". These factors include 1) the breadth of the claims, 2) the nature of the invention, 3) the state of the prior art, 4) the level of one of ordinary skill, 5) the level of predictability in the art, 6) the amount of direction provided by the

Art Unit: 1625

inventor, 7) the existence of working examples, and 8) the quantity of experimentation needed to make or use the invention based on the content of the disclosure. In re Wands, 858 F.2d 731, 737, 8 USPQ2d 1400, 1404 (Fed. Cir. 1988).

- 1) The breadth of the claims.
- 2) The nature of the invention,
- 3) The state of the prior art,
- 4) The level of one of ordinary skill,
- 5) The level of predictability in the art,
- 6) The amount of direction provided by the inventor,
- 7) The existence of working examples,
- 8) The quantity of experimentation needed to make or use the invention based on the content of the disclosure.

The nature of the invention: The instant invention is drawn to pharmaceutical composition and a method of inhibiting or treatment of muscarinic acetylcholine receptor using the compound of claim 1.

The state of the prior art: "The event leading to nerve-induced contraction of airway smooth muscle involves the interaction of acetylcholine (Ach) at a number of different cholinoceptors. This review discusses topics such asbmuscarinic acetylcholine receptor subtypes, control of airway smooth muscle, dysfunctional M2 receptor and obstruction of airways, potential role of M1 receptors in obstructive airways diseases, regulation of airway muscarinic cholinergic receptor subtypes by chronic anticholinergic treatment, anticholinergic agents and bronchial asthma, anticholinergic agents and chronic obstructive pulmonary diseases (COPD), acute exacerbation of COPD, and new functionally selective

muscarinic antagonists." (Cazzola et al., Pulmonary Pharmacology & Therapeutics (1998), 11(5/6), 381-392).

The predictability in the art: It is noted that the pharmaceutical art is unpredictable, requiring each embodiment to be individually assessed for physiological activity. *In re Fisher*, 427 F. 2d 833, 166 USPQ 18 (CCPA 1970) indicates that the more unpredictable an area is, the more specific enablement is necessary in order to satisfy the statute. In the instant case, the instantly claimed invention is highly unpredictable since one skilled in the art would recognize that in regards to the therapeutic effects, whether or not the compounds of formula of claim 1 would be useful for treating a pharmacological condition in a subject.

Page 4

Amount of guidance/working examples: Applicant provides examples on pages 9-11 of inhibition of receptor activation by calcium mobilization. However, applicant has no guidance or working examples for using a therapeutically effective amount of a compound of Formula (I) or (II) could treat any and all known or unknown diseases. Nor does applicant identify what diseases are treatable by therapeutically effective amount of a compound of Formula (I) or (II).

The breadth of the claims: The breadth of claims is drawn to pharmaceutical composition and a method of inhibiting or treatment of muscarinic acetylcholine receptor using the compound of claim 1.

The quantity of undue experimentation needed: Since the guidance and teaching provided by the specification is insufficient for treating diseases

Art Unit: 1625

associated with therapeutically effective amount of a compound of Formula (I) or (II) is efficacious, one of ordinary skill in the art, even with high level of skill, is unable to use the instant compounds as claimed without undue experimentation.

The level of the skill in the art: The level of skill in the art is high. However, due to the unpredictability in the pharmaceutical art, it is noted that each embodiment of the invention is required to be individually assessed for physiological activity by in vitro and in vivo screening to determine which compounds exhibit the desired pharmacological activity and which diseases would benefit from this activity.

Taking all of the above into consideration, it is not seen where the instant claims 5-13, for treating diseases associated with therapeutically effective amount of a compound of Formula (I) or (II) is efficacious, have been enabled by the instant specification.

4. Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-4 are patentable over Richardson et al., US 4826838, which includes the exemplified compound:

RN 5811-04-1

CN Acetic acid, (8-methyl-8-azabicyclo[3.2.1]oct-3-ylidene)-

Art Unit: 1625

the instant application. Therefore, the claims are free of prior art.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Niloofar Rahmani whose telephone number is 571-272-4329. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Janet Andres, can be reached on 571-272-0867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/NILOOFAR RAHMANI/

04/22/2008

/D. Margaret Seaman/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1625